

Zero-cycles on diagonal cubic surfaces over p -adic fields and their Brauer groups

Tetsuya UEMATSU

Chuo University / Tokyo National College of Technology

March 19, 2014./ Chuo University Algebra Seminar
(Korakuen Campus, Chuo University)

Definition (Chow group of zero-cycles on a variety)

For a variety X , define:

- the group of zero-cycles on $X =$
free abelian group generated by $\mathbf{0}$ -dimensional points on X :

$$Z_0(X) := \mathbb{Z}[X_{(0)}]$$

- the $\mathbf{0}$ -th Chow group of X :

$$CH_0(X) := Z_0(X) / \sim_{rat},$$

where \sim_{rat} is the rational equivalence on $Z_0(X)$, i.e.

$$Z \sim_{rat} Z' \stackrel{def}{\iff}$$

$$\exists \eta_i \in X_{(1)}, \exists f_i \in \kappa(\eta_i)^* \text{ s.t. } \sum_i \text{div}(f_i) = Z - Z'.$$

- Put $A_0(X) := \text{Ker}(\text{deg}: CH_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition (Brauer group of a variety)

For a variety X over k , define:

- the Brauer group of X :

$$\mathrm{Br}(X) := H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

- we have the following filtration:

$$\mathrm{Br}_0(X) \subset \mathrm{Br}_1(X) \subset \mathrm{Br}(X),$$

where

$$\mathrm{Br}_0(X) := \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Br}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X)),$$

$$\mathrm{Br}_1(X) := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Br}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(\overline{X})) \text{ (algebraic part).}$$

- Put $\mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(k) = \mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}_0(X)$.

Remark

- the algebraic part $\mathbf{Br}_1(X)$ can be studied by the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_{\text{Gal}}^p(k, H_{\text{ét}}^q(\overline{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)) \Rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

- However, the so-called transcendental Brauer group $\mathbf{Br}(X)/\mathbf{Br}_1(X)$ is relatively hard to compute...
- Brauer groups has some applications to:
 - computation of $CH_0(X)$ (today's topic!).
 - Hasse principle for rational points or zero-cycles on X (if k is a global field).
 - etc....
- However, the following fact is known:
If $\text{ch } k = 0$ and X is a smooth complete intersection in \mathbb{P}_k^n with $\dim X \geq 3$, then $\mathbf{Br}_0(X) = \mathbf{Br}(X)$.

Definition (Diagonal cubic surfaces)

Fix a field k . A diagonal cubic surface X over k is a smooth projective surface defined by

$$X = \text{Proj } k[x, y, z, t]/(ax^3 + by^3 + cz^3 + dt^3),$$

where $a, b, c, d \in k^*$.

Remark

- diagonal cubic surfaces are rational.
 \rightsquigarrow (relatively) easy to calculate their Brauer groups.

Theorem (Colliot-Thélène–Saito, Saito-Sato.)

- k : a p -adic field.
- $d \in k^* \setminus (k^*)^3$.
- X : the d. c. s. over k defined by $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + dt^3 = 0$.

(1) If $p \neq 3$, we have

$$A_0(X) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{ord}(d) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \text{ord}(d) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \text{ and } \zeta \notin k, \\ (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2 & \text{if } \text{ord}(d) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \text{ and } \zeta \in k. \end{cases}$$

(2) If $p = 3$, $\zeta = \zeta_3 \in k$ and $\text{ord}(d) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then

$$A_0(X) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2.$$

Question 1 (about structure)

If $p = 3$, How about $\text{ord}(d) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ cases?

Theorem 1 (U-.)

- k : a 3-adic field, $\zeta \in k$
- $d \in k^* \setminus (k^*)^3$.
- X : the d. c. s. over k defined by $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + dt^3 = 0$.

Assume moreover:

- $\text{ord}(d) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.
- the absolute ramification index of k is greater than 3.

Then we have

$$A_0(X) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2.$$

Question 2 (about structure)

How about $X : x^3 + y^3 + cz^3 + dt^3 = 0$ case?

Theorem 2. (U-.)

- k : a p -adic field.
- $c, d \in k^* \setminus (k^*)^3$ with $cd, c/d \notin (k^*)^3$.
- X : the d. c. s. over k defined by $x^3 + y^3 + cz^3 + dt^3 = 0$.

(1) If $p \neq 3$, we have

$$A_0(X) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{ord}(c) \equiv \text{ord}(d) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) If $p = 3$, $\zeta \in k$, $\text{ord}(c - 1)$ is greater than the absolute ramification index of k and $\text{ord}(d) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then

$$A_0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

Question 3 (about generator)

Which zero-cycles generate $CH_0(X)$?

Theorem 3 (U-.)

- k : a p -adic field. $\zeta \in k^*$
- $p \neq 3$.
- $d \in k^* \setminus (k^*)^3$.
- X : the d. c. s. over k defined by $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + dt^3 = 0$.

Then $CH_0(X)$ is generated by *the classes of rational points*.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Definitions
 - Our Results
- 2 Preliminaries
 - Brauer-Manin pairing
 - Milnor K -symbols and Brauer groups
 - Brauer group of diagonal cubic surfaces
- 3 Comments on the proof
 - Proof of Theorem 1
 - Proof of Theorem 2
 - Proof of Theorem 3
- 4 Further works

- k : a p -adic field.
- X : a smooth projective variety over k .

Definition (Brauer-Manin pairing)

- Manin defined the following pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathrm{Br}(X) \times Z_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

given by

$$\langle \alpha, \sum n_i [P_i] \rangle = \sum n_i \mathrm{inv}_k \mathrm{cores}_{\kappa(P_i)/k} \alpha(P_i).$$

- This pairing induces the following pairings

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathrm{Br}(X) \times CH_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(k) \times A_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

This pairing induces a map:

$$\phi_X: A_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbf{Br}(X)/\mathbf{Br}(k), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

About this ϕ_X , we know the following:

Theorem (Colliot-Thélène.)

If X is a rational surface, then the map ϕ_X is injective.

Theorem (Saito-Sato.)

- \mathcal{U} : regular in codimension one, faithfully flat over \mathcal{O}_k .
- $X := \mathcal{U} \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} k$: smooth over k , $Y := \mathcal{U} \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} \mathbb{F}$
- η : a generic point of Y
- $A_\eta := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}, \eta}^h$, K_η : the fractional field of A_η .
- $\iota : \mathbf{Br}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Br}(K_\eta)$.

Assume $\iota^{-1}(\mathbf{Br}(A_\eta)) \subset \mathbf{Br}_0(X)$. Then ϕ_X is surjective.

Now we recall the definition of norm residue maps.

- Assume k contains the group μ_n (n -th roots of unity).
- Consider the composite of the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\cdot, \cdot\}_n : k^* \otimes k^* &\rightarrow H^1(k, \mu_n) \otimes H^1(k, \mu_n) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cup} H^2(k, \mu_n^{\otimes 2}) \\ &\cong H^2(k, \mu_n) = {}_n\text{Br}(k) \end{aligned}$$

Definition (Milnor K -group)

$$K_2^M(k) := k^* \otimes k^* / \langle x \otimes (-x), x \otimes (1 - x) \rangle.$$

Definition (Norm residue map)

The above map factors through the Milnor K -group of k and defines the n -th norm residue map

$$\{\cdot, \cdot\}_n : K_2^M(k) \rightarrow {}_n\text{Br}(k).$$

Expression of $\mathrm{Br}(X)$ by symbols

Fact

- X : a smooth, integral variety over k .
- $k(X)$: the function field of X

\Rightarrow We have a canonical inclusion $\mathrm{Br}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(k(X))$.

Theorem (Merkurjev-Suslin, 1983)

- k : a field with $\mathrm{ch}(k) = 0$ and containing μ_3 .

The third norm residue map induces the following:

$$K_2^M(k)/3 \xrightarrow{\cong} {}_3\mathrm{Br}(k)$$

\Rightarrow We can represent ${}_3\mathrm{Br}(X) \subset {}_3\mathrm{Br}(k(X))$ by norm residue symbols.

Theorem (Manin.)

- $X : x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + dt^3 = 0$.
- $d \notin (k^*)^3$.

Then,

- $\text{Br}(X)/\text{Br}(k) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$.
- $\text{Br}(X)/\text{Br}(k)$ is generated by the following two symbols

$$e_1 = \left\{ d, \frac{x + \zeta y}{x + y} \right\}_3, \quad e_2 = \left\{ d, \frac{x + z}{x + y} \right\}_3$$

Theorem (Colliot-Thélène–Kanevsky–Sansuc, U.)

- $X : x^3 + y^3 + cz^3 + dt^3 = 0$.
- $c, d, c/d, cd \notin (k^*)^3$.

Then,

- $\mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(k) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$.
- $\mathrm{Br}(X)/\mathrm{Br}(k)$ is generated by the following symbol

$$e_1 = \left\{ \frac{d}{c}, \frac{x + \zeta y}{x + y} \right\}_3.$$

Remark

An essentially same result is appeared in a recent paper written by Colliot-Thélène and Wittenberg.

Remark

In the case of $X : x^3 + by^3 + cz^3 + dt^3 = 0$,

- $\mathrm{Br}(X) / \mathrm{Br}(k) \cong 0$ or $\mathbb{Z} / 3\mathbb{Z}$.

(If k is a number field or a p -adic field, we have

$\mathrm{Br}(X) / \mathrm{Br}(k) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3\mathbb{Z}$.

- we have no “uniform” generator in some sense.

Recall:

Theorem 1 (U-.)

- k : a $\mathbf{3}$ -adic field. $\zeta \in k$
- $d \in k^* \setminus (k^*)^{\mathbf{3}}$.
- X : the d. c. s. over k defined by $x^{\mathbf{3}} + y^{\mathbf{3}} + z^{\mathbf{3}} + dt^{\mathbf{3}} = 0$.

Assume moreover:

- $\text{ord}(d) \equiv \mathbf{2} \pmod{\mathbf{3}}$.
- the absolute ramification index of k is greater than $\mathbf{3}$.

Then we have

$$A_0(X) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/\mathbf{3}\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbf{2}}.$$

First we briefly review on the proof of the following theorem:

Theorem (Saito-Sato.)

- k : a p -adic field.
- $d \in k^* \setminus (k^*)^3$.
- X : the d. c. s. over k defined by $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + dt^3 = 0$.

(2) If $p = 3$, $\zeta = \zeta_3 \in k$ and $\text{ord}(d) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then

$$A_0(X) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2 (= \text{Hom}(\text{Br}(X)/\text{Br}(k), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})).$$

We have to prove that there exist a pair (\mathcal{U}, η) such that

- \mathcal{U} : regular in codimension one, faithfully flat over \mathcal{O}_k .
- $X \cong \mathcal{U} \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} k$.
- η : a generic point of $Y := \mathcal{U} \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} \mathbb{F}$.
- $A_\eta := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}, \eta}^h$, K_η : the fractional field of A_η .
- $\iota: \text{Br}(X) \rightarrow \text{Br}(K_\eta)$.
- $\iota^{-1}(\text{Br}(A_\eta)) \subset \text{Br}_0(X)$.

- We take as \mathcal{U} :

$$\mathcal{U} = \text{Proj } \mathcal{O}_k[x, y, z, t]/(x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + dt^3).$$

- η : the generic point of $Y := \mathcal{U} \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} \mathbb{F}$.

Definition

- We introduce a descending filtration U^m on K_η^* :

$$U^m K_\eta^* = \begin{cases} K_\eta^* & m = 0, \\ \{1 + x \mid \text{ord}_{K_\eta}(x) \geq m\} & m \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

- We also define a descending filtration U^m on $H = H^2(K_\eta, \mu_3^{\otimes 2}) \cong {}_3\text{Br}(K_\eta)$:

$$U^m H = \{U^m K_\eta^*, K_\eta^*\}_3.$$

fact

We have

$${}_3\mathrm{Br}(A_\eta) \subset U^{3e'} H,$$

where $e = \mathrm{ord}_k(3)$, $e' = \frac{3}{2}e$.

This fact immediately implies:

Lemma

If we have

- $e_1 = \left\{ d, \frac{x + \zeta y}{x + y} \right\} \in U^{3e'-4} H$ and $\neq 0$ in $\mathrm{gr}_U^{3e'-4} H$,
- $e_2 = \left\{ d, \frac{x + z}{x + y} \right\} \in U^{3e-4} H$ and $\neq 0$ in $\mathrm{gr}_U^{3e-4} H$,

Then we have $\iota^{-1}(\mathrm{Br}(A_\eta)) \subset \mathrm{Br}_0(X)$.

- Since the structure of $\mathrm{gr}_U^\bullet H$ is well-known by Bloch-Kato, the proof of the theorem almost reduces to the calculation of Milnor- K -theoretic symbols.
- However, this calculation is very hard...

Now we look at Theorem 1. Recall again:

Theorem 1 (U-.)

- k : a 3-adic field. $\zeta \in k$
- $d \in k^* \setminus (k^*)^3$.
- X : the d. c. s. over k defined by $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + dt^3 = 0$.

Assume moreover:

- $\mathrm{ord}(d) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.
- the absolute ramification index of k is greater than 3.

Then we have $A_0(X) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$.

Key of the proof of Theorem 1

- The strategy is basically same as the above theorem of Saito-Sato.
- We cannot take $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + dt^3 = 0$ over \mathcal{O}_k as \mathcal{U} , because A_η is not regular in this case.
 \rightsquigarrow We have to take another model \mathcal{U} and an appropriate choice of η .
- In this case, symbolic calculation is difficult, too.
 \rightsquigarrow We have to leave an additional assumption $e > 3$...

Recall:

Theorem 2. (U-.)

- k : a p -adic field
- $c, d \in k^* \setminus (k^*)^3$ with $cd, c/d \notin (k^*)^3$.
- X : the d. c. s. over k defined by $x^3 + y^3 + cz^3 + dt^3 = 0$.

(1) If $p \neq 3$, we have

$$A_0(X) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{ord}(c) \equiv \text{ord}(d) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) If $p = 3$, $\zeta \in k$, $\text{ord}(c - 1)$ is greater than the absolute ramification index of k and $\text{ord}(d) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then

$$A_0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

Key of the proof of Theorem 2 (1)

- Our goal is

$$\iota^{-1}(\mathrm{Br}(A_\eta)) \subset \mathrm{Br}_0(X)$$

for some (\mathcal{U}, η) .

- Take

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathrm{Proj} \mathcal{O}_k[x, y, z, t]/(x^3 + y^3 + cz^3 + dt^3).$$

- divide the proof into 6 parts w.r.t $\mathrm{ord}_k(c)$ and $\mathrm{ord}_k(d)$.
- Instead of filtration argument, we use the following residual exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow {}_3\mathrm{Br}(A_\eta) \rightarrow {}_3\mathrm{Br}(K_\eta) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}_\eta} \kappa(\eta)^*/(\kappa(\eta)^*)^3.$$

Recall:

Theorem 3 (U-.)

- k : a p -adic field. $\zeta \in k^*$
- $p \neq 3$.
- $d \in k^* \setminus (k^*)^3$.
- X : the d. c. s. over k defined by $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + dt^3 = 0$.

Then $\mathbf{CH}_0(X)$ is generated by *the classes of rational points*.

- It suffices to show $A_0(X)$ is generated by some differences of classes of rational points.
- May assume $\text{ord}(d) \neq 0$.
- Put $f = \frac{x + \zeta y}{x + y}$, $g = \frac{x + z}{x + y}$.

Lemma

For a point $P \in X(k)$ s.t. $f(P) \in k^*$, the pairing $\langle [P], e_1 \rangle \in \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ is considered as

$$(-1)^{\text{ord}(d) \text{ ord}(f(P))} \cdot \overline{\left(\frac{f(P)^{\text{ord}(d)}}{d^{\text{ord}(f(P))}} \right)} \in \mathbb{F}^* / (\mathbb{F}^*)^3.$$

- We divide its proof into 3 parts:
 - (i) $\zeta \notin (k^*)^3$.
 - (ii) $\zeta \in (k^*)^3$, $1 - \zeta \notin (k^*)^3$.
 - (iii) $\zeta, 1 - \zeta \in (k^*)^3$.

Key to the proof of (i): $\zeta \notin (k^*)^3$

- We can find explicit zero-cycles.
- Put

$$C_1 := [0 : 1 : -1 : 0] - [1 : 0 : -\zeta : 0],$$

$$C_2 := [1 : 0 : -\zeta : 0] - [1 : 0 : -\zeta^2 : 0].$$

- Noting that $\zeta \notin (k^*)^3$, we easily see that C_1 and C_2 generates $A_0(X)$.

Key to the proof of (ii): $\zeta \in (k^*)^3, 1 - \zeta \notin (k^*)^3$

- First of all, the above C_1 is non-trivial in $A_0(X)$.
- However, it seems difficult to construct the other generator explicitly.
- Instead, using some ideas due to Colliot-Thélène and Madore, we can prove the following:

Proposition

Let E/\mathbb{F} be a curve defined by $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 0$. Then there exists a point $P \in E(\mathbb{F})$ s.t. $f(P)$ is non-trivial in $\mathbb{F}^*/(\mathbb{F}^*)^3$.

- By Hensel's lemma, we can get a lift $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{O}_k)$, where $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{O}_k : x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 0$.
- Then we see that the zero-cycle

$$C_3 := [\tilde{P} : 0] - [0 : 1 : -1 : 0]$$

is just what we need.

Proof of Proposition

- Consider a curve

$$\overline{C} := \{(x + y)u^3 - (x + \zeta y)v^3 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_E^1,$$

where $[u : v]$ is the homogeneous coordinate of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^1$.

- Let E' be the blowing-up of \overline{C} along two points

$$(O, [1 : 0]), \quad (Q, [0 : 1]),$$

where $O = [1 : -1 : 0], Q = [1 : -\zeta^2 : 0] \in E(\mathbb{F})$.

- $\pi: E' \rightarrow E$, $\text{Fix } O' \in \pi^{-1}(O)(\mathbb{F})$.
 $\rightsquigarrow \pi: (E', O') \rightarrow (E, O)$ is \mathbb{F} -isogeny of elliptic curves of degree 3.
- By Using the dual isogeny $\hat{\pi}: E \rightarrow E'$ and the Weil pairing $e: E[3] \times E[3] \rightarrow \mu_3$, we have an isomorphism

$$w: \text{Ker } \pi \xrightarrow{\cong} \mu_3; \quad w(P) = e(R, Q) \in \mu_3,$$

where $R \in E[3]$ is a point s.t. $\hat{\pi}(R) = P$.

- $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \pi \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$ (exact)
 $\rightsquigarrow E(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(\mathbb{F}, \text{Ker } \pi) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, E')$ (exact)
- By the Hasse-Weil bound for a genus- g curve C/\mathbb{F}_q :

$$|\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) - (q + 1)| \leq 2g\sqrt{q},$$

we have $H^1(\mathbb{F}, E') = 0$.

\rightsquigarrow the map δ is surjective.

- $1 \rightarrow \mu_3 \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}}^* \xrightarrow{3} \bar{\mathbb{F}}^* \rightarrow 1$ (exact)
 $\rightsquigarrow \partial: \mathbb{F}^* / (\mathbb{F}^*)^3 \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1(\mathbb{F}, \mu_3)$.
- We can prove that

$$\partial^{-1} \circ w \circ \delta(P) = \begin{cases} 1 & P = O, Q, \\ f(P) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Key to the proof of (iii): $\zeta, 1 - \zeta \in (k^*)^3$

- In this case, it seems difficult to construct one generator of $A_0(X)$ explicitly.
- By using g in addition to f and change of coordinates, we can also prove a variant of the previous proposition:

Proposition

Let E/\mathbb{F} be a curve defined by $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 0$. Then there exist points P_1, P_2 , and $P_3 \in E(\mathbb{F})$ s.t. $g(P_1), (f/g)(P_2)$ and $(fg)(P_3)$ are non-trivial in $\mathbb{F}^*/(\mathbb{F}^*)^3$.

- By using these proposition, we can construct two zero-cycles which come from rational points and generate $A_0(X)$.

Remaining tasks are:

- Improve techniques of calculating symbols in order to remove additional conditions.
- Any other surjectivity criterion?
- How about $x^3 + by^3 + cz^3 + dt^3 = 0$ case?
- Does Theorem 3 hold in the case of $p = 3$?
- Relation to indices.
- Other varieties?
- etc...

Thank you for your attention!